

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/922,551

Applicant(s)

KARP ET AL.

Examiner

Latha Ravindran

Art Unit

2183

## All Participants:

(1) Latha Ravindran.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Paul Horstmann.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

NONE

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A Notice to File Corrected Application Papers was mailed 9/13/2001 for corrected drawings. The deadline has passed, and there are no corrected drawings in the application.

June 14, 2004: late morning, EST

Latha Ravindran called Paul Horstmann, the registered attorney, and left a voicemail stating that she wanted to discuss the case.

June 14, 2004: 2:25pm EST

Paul called back Latha. She asked him if he received the Notice to File Corrected Applications Papers, and if he mailed in corrected drawings. He said he would contact Hewlett-Packard to see if they received the notice, and if they sent in drawings to comply with the notice.

June 14, 2004: 9:00pm EST

Paul left a voicemail for Latha stating that Hewlett-Packard received the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers dated 9/13/2001, and that Hewlett Packard mailed in 4 pages of corrected drawings on 11/9/2001.

June 15, 2004: 4:15pm EST

Latha left a voicemail for Paul, acknowledging the voicemail Paul left. She asked if he would call her back.

June 16, 2004: 1:00pm EST

Paul called Latha. Latha informed Paul that she would send a Notice of Abandonment for the failure to comply with the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers since there were no corrected drawings in the application.